

**OBLON
SPIVAK****FACSIMILE**

PLEASE CALL US AT (703) 413-3000 IF THE MESSAGE YOU RECEIVE IS INCOMPLETE OR NOT LEGIBLE

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314
USA(703) 413-3000
(703) 413-2220 FACSIMILE

OBLONPAT@OBLON.COM

PATENT, TRADEMARK AND COPYRIGHT LAW
AND RELATED FEDERAL AND ITC LITIGATION

WWW.OBLON.COM

TO	Examiner Khoshnoodi	June 23, 2010
	NAME	DATE
	USPTO	571-273-3825
	COMPANY/FIRM	FAX #
	NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER: 2	CONFIRM FAX: <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
FROM	Aldo Martinez	290075US
	NAME	OUR REFERENCE
	703-412-6275	10/579,891
	DIRECT PHONE #	YOUR REFERENCE

MESSAGE

Dear Examiner Khoshnoodi,

Attached please find an agenda for our discussion tentatively set for June 23, 2010 at 2 pm in the Randolph building.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Best regards,


Aldo Martinez

Unless otherwise indicated or obvious from the nature of the transmittal, the information contained in this facsimile message is attorney privileged and confidential information intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error or are not sure whether it is privileged, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service at our Expense. Thank You.

Docket No: 290075

Applicant Initiated Interview Request FormApplication No.: 10/579,891 First Named Applicant: Masaki HamadaExaminer: Nadia Khoshnoodi Art Unit: 3477 Status of Application: non-finally rejected**Tentative Participants:**(1) Aldo Martinez (2) _____

(3) _____ (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: June 23, 2010 Proposed Time: 2:00 PM(1) ☐ Telephonic (2) ☒ Personal (3) ☐ Video ConferenceExhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>Rejection - 103(a)</u>	<u>15-28</u>	<u>Talpade, Mollenkopf, Sonnenberg</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) <u>Rejection - 101</u>	<u>25-28</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached**Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:**

1.) brief description of invention; 2) differences between the claimed protection request information transmitting unit and the Mollenkopf reference at, for example, columns 2, 4, 5, 25 and Figure 2; 3) discussion of the 101 rejection.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.

NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)_____
(Examiner/SPE Signature)